Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 1662-1664

Two Zirconium(IV) Complexes, $[Zr_2Cl_8(PMe_3)_4]$ and *trans*- $[ZrI_4(PMe_2Ph)_2]$

F. ALBERT COTFON* AND WILLIAM A. WOJTCZAK

Department of Chemistry and Laboratory for Molecular Structure and Bonding, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

(Received 1 October 1993; *accepted* 12 *April* 1994)

Abstract

The crystal and molecular structures of $di-\mu$ -chloro-bis-[trichlorobis(trimethylphosphine)zirconium(IV)], [$Zr_2Cl_8(C_3H_9P)_4$] (1), and *trans*-bis(dimethylphenylphos-

phine)tetraiodozirconium(IV), *trans*-[ZrI₄(C₈H₁₁P)₂] (2), have been determined. Compound (1) is dinuclear with Zr atoms bridged by two C1 atoms, forming the shared edge of the bipolyhedral structure. The coordination geometry around each of the seven-coordinate Zr^{IV} atoms is best described as face-capped octahedral. The • capping PMe3 ligands are bound to the Zr atoms through the triangular faces formed by the terminal C1 atoms. In (2), the coordination geometry of the Zr atom is octahedral with the PMe2Ph ligands *trans* to each other.

Comment

We have been actively investigating the coordination compounds of the Group IVA metals with halide atoms and phosphine ligands, particularly those of the edgesharing bioctahedral type $M_2X_6(PR_3)_4$ ($M = Ti$, Zr or Hf; $X = Cl$ or I) (Cotton, Diebold & Kabala, 1988; Cotton, Kibala & Wojtczak, 1990; Cotton, Shang & Wojtczak, 1991; Cotton & Wojtczak, 1993). During the synthesis of $M_2X_6(PR_3)_4$ compounds, by reduction of MX_4 and addition of phosphine, we obtained the title compounds in low yields. We later prepared compounds (1) and (2) in good yields by reacting ZrX_4 ($X = Cl$ or I) directly with $PMe₃$ and $PMe₂Ph$. While the reactions to prepare (1) and (2) are similar, the two products are quite different. *trans*-[ZrI₄(PMe₂Ph)₂], (2), retains a mononuclear structure, but $[Zr_2Cl_8(PMe_3)_4]$, (1), is dinuclear and can be viewed as a dimerization product of two $ZrCl₄(PMe₃)₂$ molecules. Factors contributing to the greater stability of the dinuclear structure of (1) over the mononuclear $ZrCl_4(PMe_3)_2$ may be (a) the good bridging ability of Cl atoms, (b) the small size of the PMe₃ ligands, (c) the large size of Zr atoms, and (d) the formation of an additional Zr —Cl bond in (1) .

 $PMe₃$ PM_ePh \cap $PMe₃$ CI $PMe₃$ $PMe₂Ph$ (1) (2)

Very little structural data are available for high-valent coordination compounds of the Group IVA metals containing only phosphine and halide ligands. In fact, $[HfCl₄(LL)], LL =$ dppe $[1,2-bis$ (diphenylphosphino)ethane] and dppp [1,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)propane], and $[Zr_2Cl_8(PPh_3)_2]$ (Cotton, Kibala & Wojtczak, 1991;

© 1994 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

Cotton & Kibala, 1991) are the only other compounds of this type to have been structurally characterized.

The crystal structure of (1) comprises isolated $[Zr_2Cl_8(PMe_3)_4]$ bipolyhedra formed by $Cl-Cl$ edgesharing between $ZrCl₅(PMe₃)₂$ face-capped octahedra (Figs. 1a and 2a). The Zr^IV atoms are seven coordinate. A \overline{PMe} ₃ ligand resides over each of the triangular faces formed by the three terminal C1 atoms of the $ZrCl₅(PMe₃)$ units. This produces a face-capped octahedral geometry around the Zr atoms. The average Zr — Cl bridge and Zr—C1 terminal distances of 2.659 (17) and 2.431 (4) Å, respectively, are similar to those reported for $[Z_{r2}Cl_8(PPh_3)_2]$ (Cotton & Kibala, 1991). The Hf--C1 terminal bond distances in the $[HfCl₄(LL)]$ compounds (Cotton, Kibala & Wojtczak, 1991) are approximately $0.05~\text{\AA}$ shorter than in (1). This is consistent with the slightly smaller size of H^{fV} , compared to Zr^{IV} , as a result of the lanthanide contraction.

trans-[Zrla(PMe2Ph)2], (2), has octahedral geometry (Figs. 1b and 2b) with I - Zr -I and I - Zr -P angles very near the ideal octahedral value of 90° . The Zr--I

and Zr- $-P$ distances are 0.02-0.03 Å shorter than those reported for the Zr^{III} compound $[Zr_2I_6(PMe_2Ph)_4]$ (Cotton, Shang & Wojtczak, 1991). However, this is not unexpected since Zr^{IV} has a smaller radius than Zr^{III} .

Experimental

Compound (1) was obtained from the reaction of $ZrCl₄$ with two equivalents of PMe $_3$ in 20 ml of benzene. X-ray quality crystals were grown by layering the benzene solution with 30 ml of hexanes. Compound (2) was synthesized by stirring together ZrI_4 and two equivalents of PMe_2Ph in 25 ml of benzene. Crystals were obtained one week after layering the reaction solution with 20 ml of hexanes. Crystals of (1) and (2) were wedged inside capillary tubes that were previously filled with dried and degassed mineral. The tubes were then sealed at both ends with epoxy cement.

> Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073~\text{\AA}$ Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 13 - 16^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.594$ mm⁻¹ $T = 294$ K Block

> $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$ mm

Brown

 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.021$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 23^{\circ}$ $h=0 \rightarrow 10$ $k=0\rightarrow 19$ $l = -13 \rightarrow 13$ 3 standard reflections monitored every 100 reflections intensity variation: -36.4% overall

Compound (1)

Crystal data

 $[Z_{\Gamma2}Cl_8(C_3H_9P)_4]$ $M_r = 770.4$ Monoclinic *P21/n* $a = 8.220(1)$ Å $b = 16.579(2)~\text{\AA}$ $c = 11.192$ (1) \AA $\beta = 93.40$ (1)^o $V = 1522.55(29)$ \AA^3 $Z=2$ $D_x = 1.680 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection

Syntex *P3/F* diffractometer ω -2 θ scans Absorption correction: ψ scans at $\chi = 90^{\circ}$ $T_{\text{min}} = 0.851$, $T_{\text{max}} =$ 0.997 1892 measured reflections 1640 independent reflections 1395 observed reflections $[F_o^2 > 3\sigma(F_o^2)]$

Refinement

Table 1. *Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters* (A^2) *for* (1)

	$B_{\text{eq}} = (4/3) \sum_i \sum_j \beta_{ij} a_i \cdot a_j$.			
				$B_{\rm eq}$
Zr	0.03610(7)	0.09081(3)	0.13616(4)	2.16(1)
CKD)	0.1338(2)	$-0.05301(9)$	0.0670(1)	2.88(3)
Cl(2)	0.1697(2)	0.0430(1)	0.3228(1)	3.56(3)

Fig. 2. (a) Unit-cell diagram for (1) (c across, a down, b towards viewer) and (b) unit-cell diagram for (2) (c across, b down, a towards viewer). Atoms are represented by displacement ellipsoids at the 20% level.

Compound (2) *Crystal data*

 $[X_r[2rI_4(C_8H_{11}P)_2]$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $M_r = 875.1$ $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Monoclinic Cell parameters from 25 *C2/c* reflections $a = 20.598$ (4) Å $\theta = 15.5-17^{\circ}$
 $b = 9.431$ (1) Å $\mu = 5.405$ mm⁻¹ $b = 9.431$ (1) Å $\mu = 5.405$
c = 15.307 (3) Å $T = 294$ K $c = 15.307 \text{ (3)} \text{ Å}$ $T = 294$
 $\beta = 122.06 \text{ (1)}^{\circ}$ Irregular $\beta = 122.06$ (1)^o $V = 2520.05 (79)$ \AA^3 0.70 × 0.50 × 0.50 mm $Z = 4$ Orange $D_x = 2.306$ Mg m⁻³

Data collection

Syntex *P3/F* diffractometer ω -2 θ scans Absorption correction: ψ scans at $\chi = 90^{\circ}$ $T_{\text{min}} = 0.798, T_{\text{max}} =$ 0.999 1776 measured reflections 1602 independent reflections 1403 observed reflections $[F_o^2 > 3\sigma(F_o^2)]$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 23^{\circ}$ $h = -23 \rightarrow 23$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 11$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 17$ reflections overall

Refinement

Refinement on F $R = 0.040$ $wR = 0.062$ $S = 1.423$ 1403 reflections 106 parameters $w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.01$

 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.030$ 3 standard reflections monitored every 100 intensity variation: $-26%$

 $\Delta\rho_\text{max}$ = 0.36 e A⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.49$ e A⁻³ Extinction correction: none Atomic scattering factors from *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1974, Vol. IV)

Table 3. *Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters* (\AA^2) for (2)

Table 4. *Selected geometric parameters* (\AA , \circ) for (2)

The space group of (1) was determined unambiguously from the systematic absences (0k0 absent if $k = 2n + 1$; h01 absent if $h + 1 = 2n + 1$ as $P2_1/n$ (No. 14). The space group of (2) *(C2/c,* No. 15) was assumed initially and later confirmed by the successful refinement of the structure. H atoms of (1) were located from the difference Fourier map following the complete anisotropic refinement of all the atoms. The H atoms were refined isotropically with fixed displacement parameters of $1.3B_{eq}$ of the corresponding C atoms. Data collection and cell refinement for (1) and (2): Nicolet-Syntex *P3*/F software. Data reduction and other calculations: *SDP* package (Enraf-Nonius, 1979). Structure solutions: direct methods in *SHELXS86* (Sheldrick, 1986). Molecular graphics: *ORTEP* (Johnson, 1965).

We thank the Robert A. Welch Foundation for support under grant A494.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and complete geometry for (1) have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: HR1002). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Cotton, F. A., Diebold, M. P. & Kibala, P. A. (1988). *Inorg. Chem.* 27, 799-804.
- Cotton, F. A. & Kibala, P. A. (1991). *Acta Cryst.* C47, 270-272.
- Cotton, F. A., Kibala, P. A. & Wojtczak, W. A. (1990). *Inorg. Chim. Acta,* 17, 1-3.
- Cotton, F. A., Kibala, P. A. & Wojtczak, W. A. (1991). *Acta Cryst.* C47, 89-92.
- Cotton, F. A., Shang, M. & Wojtczak, W. A. (1991). *lnorg. Chem. 30,* 3670-3675.
- Cotton, F. A. & Wojtczak, W. A. (1993). *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* 123, 499-507.
- Enraf-Nonius (1979). *Structure Determination Package. Enraf-*Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Johnson, C. K. (1965). *ORTEP.* Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). *SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of* Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.