METAL-ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 1662-1664

Two Zirconium(IV) Complexes, [Zr₂Cl₈(PMe₃)₄] and *trans*-[ZrI₄(PMe₂Ph)₂]

F. ALBERT COTTON* AND WILLIAM A. WOJTCZAK

Department of Chemistry and Laboratory for Molecular Structure and Bonding, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

(Received 1 October 1993; accepted 12 April 1994)

Abstract

The crystal and molecular structures of di- μ -chloro-bis-[trichlorobis(trimethylphosphine)zirconium(IV)], [Zr₂Cl₈(C₃H₉P)₄] (1), and *trans*-bis(dimethylphenylphos-

phine)tetraiodozirconium(IV), *trans*-[ZrI₄($C_8H_{11}P$)₂] (2), have been determined. Compound (1) is dinuclear with Zr atoms bridged by two Cl atoms, forming the shared edge of the bipolyhedral structure. The coordination geometry around each of the seven-coordinate Zr^{IV} atoms is best described as face-capped octahedral. The capping PMe₃ ligands are bound to the Zr atoms through the triangular faces formed by the terminal Cl atoms. In (2), the coordination geometry of the Zr atom is octahedral with the PMe₂Ph ligands *trans* to each other.

Comment

We have been actively investigating the coordination compounds of the Group IVA metals with halide atoms and phosphine ligands, particularly those of the edgesharing bioctahedral type $M_2X_6(PR_3)_4$ (M = Ti, Zr or Hf; X = Cl or I) (Cotton, Diebold & Kabala, 1988; Cotton, Kibala & Wojtczak, 1990; Cotton, Shang & Wojtczak, 1991; Cotton & Wojtczak, 1993). During the synthesis of $M_2X_6(PR_3)_4$ compounds, by reduction of MX_4 and addition of phosphine, we obtained the title compounds in low yields. We later prepared compounds (1) and (2) in good yields by reacting ZrX_4 (X = Cl or I) directly with PMe₃ and PMe₂Ph. While the reactions to prepare (1) and (2) are similar, the two products are quite different. trans-[ZrI₄(PMe₂Ph)₂], (2), retains a mononuclear structure, but [Zr₂Cl₈(PMe₃)₄], (1), is dinuclear and can be viewed as a dimerization product of two ZrCl₄(PMe₃)₂ molecules. Factors contributing to the greater stability of the dinuclear structure of (1) over the mononuclear $ZrCl_4(PMe_3)_2$ may be (a) the good bridging ability of Cl atoms, (b) the small size of the PMe₃ ligands, (c) the large size of Zr atoms, and (d) the formation of an additional Zr-Cl bond in (1).



Very little structural data are available for high-valent coordination compounds of the Group IVA metals containing only phosphine and halide ligands. In fact, [HfCl₄(*LL*)], *LL* = dppe [1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane] and dppp [1,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)propane], and [Zr₂Cl₈(PPh₃)₂] (Cotton, Kibala & Wojtczak, 1991;





Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1994 Cotton & Kibala, 1991) are the only other compounds of this type to have been structurally characterized.

The crystal structure of (1) comprises isolated [Zr₂Cl₈(PMe₃)₄] bipolyhedra formed by Cl—Cl edgesharing between ZrCl₅(PMe₃)₂ face-capped octahedra (Figs. 1a and 2a). The Zr^{IV} atoms are seven coordinate. A PMe₃ ligand resides over each of the triangular faces formed by the three terminal Cl atoms of the ZrCl₅(PMe₃) units. This produces a face-capped octahedral geometry around the Zr atoms. The average Zr-Cl bridge and Zr-Cl terminal distances of 2.659 (17) and 2.431 (4) Å, respectively, are similar to those reported for [Zr₂Cl₈(PPh₃)₂] (Cotton & Kibala, 1991). The Hf— Cl terminal bond distances in the [HfCl₄(LL)] compounds (Cotton, Kibala & Wojtczak, 1991) are approximately 0.05 Å shorter than in (1). This is consistent with the slightly smaller size of Hf^{IV}, compared to Zr^{IV}, as a result of the lanthanide contraction.

 $trans-[ZrI_4(PMe_2Ph)_2]$, (2), has octahedral geometry (Figs. 1b and 2b) with I-Zr-I and I-Zr-P angles very near the ideal octahedral value of 90°. The Zr-I



(b)

Fig. 2. (a) Unit-cell diagram for (1) (c across, a down, b towards viewer) and (b) unit-cell diagram for (2) (c across, b down, a towards viewer). Atoms are represented by displacement ellipsoids at the 20% level.

and Zr-P distances are 0.02-0.03 Å shorter than those reported for the Zr^{III} compound $[Zr_2I_6(PMe_2Ph)_4]$ (Cotton, Shang & Wojtczak, 1991). However, this is not unexpected since Zr^{IV} has a smaller radius than Zr^{III}.

Experimental

Compound (1) was obtained from the reaction of ZrCl₄ with two equivalents of PMe₃ in 20 ml of benzene. X-ray quality crystals were grown by layering the benzene solution with 30 ml of hexanes. Compound (2) was synthesized by stirring together ZrI₄ and two equivalents of PMe₂Ph in 25 ml of benzene. Crystals were obtained one week after layering the reaction solution with 20 ml of hexanes. Crystals of (1) and (2) were wedged inside capillary tubes that were previously filled with dried and degassed mineral. The tubes were then sealed at both ends with epoxy cement.

Compound (1)

Crvstal data

 $[Zr_2Cl_8(C_3H_9P)_4]$ $M_r = 770.4$ Monoclinic $P2_1/n$ a = 8.220(1) Å b = 16.579 (2) Å c = 11.192 (1) Å $\beta = 93.40 (1)^{\circ}$ V = 1522.55 (29) Å³ Z = 2 $D_x = 1.680 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection Syntex P3/F diffractometer ω -2 θ scans Absorption correction: ψ scans at $\chi = 90^{\circ}$ $T_{\min} = 0.851, T_{\max} =$

0.997 1892 measured reflections 1640 independent reflections 1395 observed reflections $[F_o^2 > 3\sigma(F_o^2)]$

0.1697 (2)

Refinement

Zr

CI(1)

CI(2)

Refinement on F	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.31 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
R = 0.029	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.31 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
wR = 0.038	Extinction correction: none
S = 0.801	Atomic scattering factors
1395 reflections	from International Tables
172 parameters	for X-ray Crystallography
$w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$	(1974, Vol. IV)
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.02$	

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$ for (1)

$B_{\rm eq} = (4/3) \sum_i \sum_i \beta_{ii} \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_i.$ B_{eq} 0.09081 (3) 0.13616 (4) 0.03610 (7) 2.16(1) 0.1338 (2) -0.05301(9)0.0670(1) 2.88(3)

0.0430(1)

Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 13 - 16^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.594 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 294 KBlock $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$ mm Brown

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.021$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 23^{\circ}$

 $h = 0 \rightarrow 10$

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 19$ $l = -13 \rightarrow 13$

3 standard reflections

reflections

intensity variation:

0.3228(1)

3.56(3)

monitored every 100

-36.4% overall

C1(3)	-0.1827(2)	0.18930 (9)	0.1397(1)	3.44 (3)
C1(4)	0.2346 (2)	0.1424(1)	0.0033 (1)	4.53 (4)
P(1)	0.1686 (2)	0.2256(1)	0.2477(1)	3.13 (3)
P(2)	-0.2130 (2)	0.0099 (1)	0.2493 (1)	2.97 (3)
C(10)	0.0698 (9)	0.2524 (5)	0.3822 (6)	4.9 (2)
C(11)	0.3831 (8)	0.2198 (5)	0.2962 (7)	5.3 (2)
C(12)	0.158 (1)	0.3168 (4)	0.1587 (7)	5.6 (2)
C(20)	-0.4153 (8)	0.0047 (5)	0.1742 (6)	4.5 (2)
C(21)	-0.2515 (9)	0.0649 (5)	0.3854 (6)	4.8 (2)
C(22)	-0.1719 (9)	-0.0909 (4)	0.3048 (6)	4.6 (2)
			0	
ጥ ቤ ነ	an Calendad	a a a wa a tui a man	anatama (Å)	$(1) f_{a} = (1)$

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (A, °) for (1)

ZrCl(1)	2.646 (2)	ZrCl(4)	2.427 (2)
Zr-Cl(1)'	2.671 (1)	Zr—P(1)	2.752 (2)
ZrCl(2)	2.434 (2)	ZrP(2)	2.811 (2)
Zr—Cl(3)	2.431 (2)		
Cl(1)— Zr — $Cl(1)'$	72.06 (5)	Cl(2)—Zr—Cl(3)	120.08 (6)
Cl(1)— Zr — $Cl(2)$	80.11 (5)	Cl(2)— Zr — $Cl(4)$	110.78 (6)
Cl(1)—Zr—Cl(3)	147.94 (5)	Cl(2)— Zr — $P(1)$	74.27 (5)
Cl(1)—Zr—Cl(4)	84.93 (5)	Cl(2)— Zr — $P(2)$	76.22 (5)
Cl(1)—Zr—P(1)	138.18 (5)	Cl(3)— Zr — $Cl(4)$	107.37 (6)
Cl(1)— Zr — $P(2)$	86.75 (5)	Cl(3)— Zr — $P(1)$	73.79 (5)
Cl(1)' - Zr - Cl(2)	147.19 (5)	Cl(3)— Zr — $P(2)$	75.74 (5)
Cl(1)'—Zr— $Cl(3)$	79.73 (5)	Cl(4)— Zr — $P(1)$	74.31 (5)
Cl(1)' - Zr - Cl(4)	84.13 (5)	Cl(4)— Zr — $P(2)$	167.96 (5)
Cl(1)' - Zr - P(1)	138.53 (5)	P(1)— Zr — $P(2)$	117.55 (5)
Cl(1)' - Zr - P(2)	85.04 (5)	Zr-Cl(1)-Zr'	107.94 (5)

Compound (2) Crystal data

 $[ZrL_4(C_8H_{11}P)_2]$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $M_r = 875.1$ $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Monoclinic Cell parameters from 25 C2/creflections $\theta = 15.5 - 17^{\circ}$ a = 20.598 (4) Å $\mu = 5.405 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ b = 9.431(1) Å T = 294 Kc = 15.307 (3) Å Irregular $\beta = 122.06 (1)^{\circ}$ V = 2520.05 (79) Å³ $0.70 \times 0.50 \times 0.50$ mm Z = 4Orange $D_x = 2.306 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection

Syntex P3/F diffractometer $\theta_{\rm max} = 23^{\circ}$ ω -2 θ scans $h = -23 \rightarrow 23$ Absorption correction: $k = 0 \rightarrow 11$ ψ scans at $\chi = 90^{\circ}$ $T_{\rm min} = 0.798, T_{\rm max} =$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 17$ 0.999 1776 measured reflections 1602 independent reflections reflections 1403 observed reflections $[F_o^2 > 3\sigma(F_o^2)]$ overall

Refinement

Refinement on FR = 0.040wR = 0.062S = 1.4231403 reflections 106 parameters $w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.01$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.030$ 3 standard reflections monitored every 100 intensity variation: -26%

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.36 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.49 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV)

Table 3. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$ for (2)

	Be	$q = (4/3) \sum_i \sum_j \beta_i$	j a i. a j.	
	x	у	z	Beg
Zr	1/4	1/4	1/2	2.81 (2)
I(1)	0.13660 (5)	0.20192 (9)	0.29510 (5)	6.10(2)
I(2)	0.21079 (4)	-0.00381 (7)	0.55428 (5)	5.22 (2)
P(1)	0.3508(1)	0.0844 (3)	0.4760 (2)	3.59 (5)
C(10)	0.4249 (7)	-0.001(1)	0.5951 (9)	6.8 (3)
C(11)	0.3063 (6)	-0.065(1)	0.3845 (8)	6.0 (3)
C(12)	0.4016 (4)	0.184(1)	0.4306 (5)	3.5 (2)
C(13)	0.4695 (5)	0.254(1)	0.5008 (7)	4.5 (2)
C(14)	0.5066 (6)	0.335(1)	0.4660 (9)	6.5 (3)
C(15)	0.4791 (5)	0.354(1)	0.3648 (8)	6.0 (3)
C(16)	0.4139 (6)	0.287(1)	0.2955 (7)	6.1 (3)
C(17)	0.3724 (6)	0.200(1)	0.3241 (8)	5.9 (3)

Table 4. Selected geometric parameters $(Å, \circ)$ for (2)

Zr—I(1) Zr—I(2)	2.7787 (6) 2.7902 (7)	ZrP(1)	2.774 (3)
I(1)—Zr— $I(2)$	89.62 (2)	I(1)— Zr — $P(1)'$	90.10 (4)
I(1)—Zr— $I(2)'$	90.38 (2)	I(2)— Zr — $P(1)$	84.93 (6)
I(1)—Zr— $P(1)$	89.90 (4)	I(2)— Zr — $P(1)'$	95.07 (6)

The space group of (1) was determined unambiguously from the systematic absences (0k0 absent if k = 2n + 1; h01 absent if h + 1 = 2n + 1) as $P2_1/n$ (No. 14). The space group of (2) (C2/c, No. 15) was assumed initially and later confirmed by the successful refinement of the structure. H atoms of (1) were located from the difference Fourier map following the complete anisotropic refinement of all the atoms. The H atoms were refined isotropically with fixed displacement parameters of $1.3B_{eq}$ of the corresponding C atoms. Data collection and cell refinement for (1) and (2): Nicolet-Syntex P3/F software. Data reduction and other calculations: SDP package (Enraf-Nonius, 1979). Structure solutions: direct methods in SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1986). Molecular graphics: ORTEP (Johnson, 1965).

We thank the Robert A. Welch Foundation for support under grant A494.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and complete geometry for (1) have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: HR1002). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Cotton, F. A., Diebold, M. P. & Kibala, P. A. (1988). Inorg. Chem. 27, 799-804.
- Cotton, F. A. & Kibala, P. A. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 270-272.
- Cotton, F. A., Kibala, P. A. & Wojtczak, W. A. (1990). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 17, 1-3
- Cotton, F. A., Kibala, P. A. & Wojtczak, W. A. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 89-92
- Cotton, F. A., Shang, M. & Wojtczak, W. A. (1991). Inorg. Chem. 30, 3670-3675.
- Cotton, F. A. & Wojtczak, W. A. (1993). Gazz. Chim. Ital. 123, 499-507
- Enraf-Nonius (1979). Structure Determination Package. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.